



ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

4thFloor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

:Present:

Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy, Chairman
Sri P. Rajagopal Reddy, Member
Sri Thakur Rama Singh, Member

O.P.No.55 of 2022

Between:

M/s. MOR Alloys Pvt. Ltd., A company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956, Having its registered Office at: Shree Ram Nagar, Garividi R.S, Vizianagaram District, Andhra Pradesh-535101, Rep. by its Authorised Signatory (HT Meter No. VZM 382)

... PETITIONER

And

1. Eastern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited (APEPDCL), Rep by its Managing Director, P&T Colony, Seethammadhara, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh-530013.

2. AP State Load Dispatch Centre, Constituted under Section 31 of the Electricity Act, Represented by Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited, Office at Vidyut Soudha, Gunadala, Eluru Rd, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh - 520004.

3. Transmission Corporation of Andhra Pradesh Limited, Vidyut Soudha, Gunadala. Eluru Road, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh- 520004, Rep. by its Chairman and Managing Director.

... RESPONDENTS

This Original Petition has come up for hearing today in the presence of Sri Abhinay Reddy, counsel representing Sri P.Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents, that after carefully considering the material available on record and after hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for both parties, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

This O.P. is filed with the grievance that the respondents have not been permitting Open Access to the petitioner, which carries on activities of production of Ferro Alloys products.

Sri P.Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents, fairly submitted that in identical cases, this Commission has permitted Open Access to certain Ferro Alloys Industries based on the order dated 12.08.2021 passed by the Honourable APTEL in Appeal No.92 of 2021- (***Srikalahasthi Pipes Limited vs APSPDCL & Anr***). He, however, submitted that provision of Open Access may be subject to compliance of the extant Regulations by the applicant for the OA.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that his client has no objection for complying with the extant Regulations.

In the light of the above, the O.P. is allowed subject to compliance of the extant Regulations for the OA by the petitioner.

Sd/-
Thakur Rama Singh
Member

Sd/-
Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy
Chairman

Sd/-
P. Rajagopal Reddy
Member